

Table of Contents

A. Introduction 1

B. Factual and Procedural Background 3

Legal Arguments 7

C. The ETS Final Rule Is Subject to the Paperwork
Reduction Act 7

D. There Is a Dichotomy in the Paperwork
Reduction Act Between Procedural
Requirements (Which Are Mandatory and
Nondiscretionary) and OMB Review Authority
(Which Is Discretionary). OMB Lacks the
Authority to Engage in Discretionary Review
Unless and Until HHS’s Procedural Violations
Are Corrected 9

 1. HHS’s and OMB’s Duties Under the
 Paperwork Reduction Act Are Mandatory
 and Nondiscretionary, and Are Conditions
 Precedent to OMB’s Review on the Merits 9

 a. Complete Clearance Package 10

 b. Ten Statutory Certifications 11

 c. Submission of Accurate Burden
 Estimates 12

 d. Reduction of Burdens Through
 Use of Electronic Technologies 12

 2. HIPAA Is Consonant With, and Does Not
 Override, the Paperwork Reduction Act 13

E. HHS Has Violated Procedural Requirements of
the Paperwork Reduction Act Which Must
Occur Before OMB Can Review the Merits of an
Information Collection Request 16

 1. HHS Has Not Submitted a Valid
 Clearance Package to OMB 16

2.	HHS Has Failed to Provide the Public with Notice of, and an Opportunity to Review and Comment on, a Complete Clearance Package	17
F.	The Burden Estimates Provided by HHS Are Incomplete and Grossly Inaccurate	17
1.	HHS’s Burden Estimates Are Incomplete	17
2.	HHS’s Burden Estimates Are Based on Incorrect Assumptions, So That HHS Has Grossly Understated Aggregate Burdens	19
a.	HHS’s aggregate figures underestimate costs (burdens)	21
b.	HHS’s aggregate figures overestimate benefits (savings)	22
c.	HHS has underestimated costs for major segments of the health care industry	25
d.	Costs to health plans have been underestimated	26
e.	Costs to hospitals have been underestimated	34
f.	Costs to physicians have been underestimated	36
3.	OMB Is Obligated to Reject a Clearance Package Containing Grossly Inaccurate Burden Estimates	39
G.	Even If OMB Engages in an Exercise of Discretion at the Present Time, HHS’s Information Collection Request Should Be Disapproved on the Merits	40
1.	HHS Fails the “Purpose” Test Under the Paperwork Reduction Act, Because Piecemeal Implementation of Administrative Simplification Violates HIPAA	40
2.	The Information Collections in the ETS Final Rule Fail the “Practical Utility” Test	41

3.	The Burdens of the Information Collections Are Unreasonably Excessive, and Outweigh the Benefits	45
4.	HHS Has Violated the Statutory Requirement That Electronic Technologies Be Used so as to <u>Reduce</u> , <u>Not Increase</u> , the Burdens of Compliance	46
5.	HHS Has Violated the Statutory Requirement That HHS Allocate Sufficient Resources to Manage the New Requirements	48
6.	HHS Has Violated the Statutory Requirement That New Information Collections Be Consistent and Compatible with Existing Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements	51
H.	HHS’s Failure to Submit a Clearance Package Prior to the Effective Date of the Final Rule Mandates That the Compliance Deadline Be Extended	52
I.	The ETS Final Rule Cannot Be Implemented Until the Other Administrative Simplification Regulations Have Been Promulgated	54
1.	The Administrative Simplification Subtitle of HIPAA Contains Interrelated Provisions That Cannot Be Implemented in a Piecemeal Manner	54
a.	Congress intended for the complete set of regulations to be issued at roughly the same time	54
b.	Congress, HHS and NCVHS have all indicated that the Administrative Simplification regulations are so substantively interrelated that one standard cannot be implemented without reference to the others	56

2.	The Imposition of Piecemeal Compliance Deadlines Would Violate HIPAA’s Fundamental Requirement of “Reducing the Administrative Costs of Providing and Paying for Health Care”	58
3.	Members of Congress, State Health Agencies, Providers and Plans Have All Stressed That an Extension of the Compliance Deadline Is Necessary	60
J.	It Will Be Impossible to Comply with the ETS Final Rule Until HHS Identifies State Variations	65
1.	HHS Should Have Taken State-Law Requirements into Account Before Issuing the ETS Final Rule	65
2.	The State Governors and State Medicaid Officials Have Stated That Additional Time to Implement the ETS Final Rule Is Imperative	67
K.	HHS Has Violated Requirements of OMB Circular A-119 Issued Pursuant to the Technology Transfer Act	69
1.	Cost-Benefit Analyses for Alternative Standards	69
2.	Consideration of International Standards	70
3.	Identification of Alternative Standards	72
L.	Conclusions and Relief Requested	73
1.	Conclusions	74
2.	Considerations OMB Must Take into Account in Determining the Appropriate Relief	75
3.	Specific Relief Requested	76