



Record Type: Record

To: Brooke Dickson Information Quality/OMB/EOP@EOP  
"Christensen, Dale O" <Christensen.Dale@HQ.NAVY.MIL>, "Barth, Charley A"  
<Barth.Charley@hq.navy.mil>  
Subject: CIO Council Request for Comment

---

Ms. Dickson:

As requested, I am providing comments from the staff of the Department of the Navy Chief Information Officer on OMB's draft "Guidelines for Ensuring and Maximizing the Quality, Objectivity, Utility, and Integrity of Information Disseminated by Federal Agencies". These proposed guidelines were reviewed by both the Enterprise Architecture and Records Management leads in our office.

#### GENERAL COMMENTS:

- \* These guidelines appear to be consistent with Section 515(a) requirements and provide adequate amplifying definitions. There are some deficiencies, however, that we have noted:
- \* These guidelines should be tied to requirements associated with Records Management (RM) described in OMB A-130. Rationale: Electronic RM solutions provide capabilities to assign, track, and monitor the desired attributes, as well as serve as repositories for archive and retrieval of information.
- \* Section III suggests that "agencies should consider using their Enterprise Architecture...to help determine how existing resources can best fill needs for quality data." This statement is vague and subject to broad interpretation/mis-interpretation. Suggest modifying it to state which component of the enterprise architecture (business processes, information flows, data descriptions, applications, technology infrastructure) would support the objectives.

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS:

- \* Although section III suggests that "agencies may want to consider developing different processes to address different types of information", section V specifically addresses "scientific research". It is not clear whether scientific research includes Mapping, Charting, and Geodesy (MC&G) information. If not, consider specific language that applies to MC&G information. Rationale: MC&G information is produced by Department of Defense Components in support of DoD missions. Some of these products are

disseminated to foreign governments and the public and can result in liability issues for the US Government.

\* OMB requested that agencies comment on two questions:

\* (1) Should the OMB guidelines devote particular attention to specific types of information or information dissemination products?

\* Response: Yes; those with the potential for liability issues (see comment on MC&G information above).

\* (2) Should OMB develop specific guidelines to address information that Federal agencies dissemination from a web page?

\* Response: No; specific guidelines should be the responsibility of the Federal agencies. OMB should provide high-level requirements and should require agencies to have guidelines for controlling web page content.

Please contact me if you have questions regarding my response.

Regards,

Brian G. Wilczynski

Enterprise Architecture Program Manager

Office of the DON CIO

Infrastructure, Systems & Technology Directorate

703-607-5653

DSN 327-5653

Cell: 703-967-7507

Pager: 877-820-9207

Skytel Text Page: 8778209207@skytel.com <mailto:8778209297@skytel.com>



- att1.htm